

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,257	10/26/2001	Yves Delmotte	WM-267.00 3743		
7590 06/28/2006			EXAMINER		
Janice Guthrie, Ph.D.			SILVERMAN, ERIC E		
BAXTER Healthcare Corporation 17511 Armstrong Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Irvine, CA 92614			1615		
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/004,257	DELMOTTE, YVES	DEŁMOTTE, YVES		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Eric E. Silverman, PhD	1615			

		Life L. Oliverman, 1 11D	1010	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE F	REPLY FILED <u>12 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply in	affidavit, or other eviden n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	$\sum$ The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	-		
b)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai	ling date of the final reject	tion.
<b>.</b>	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have bunder set for may re	cions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sign in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
2. 🗌	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
	IDMENTS			
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see N w);	OTE below);	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
	(d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a $\circ$	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	•
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-31.			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 32-72.			
	PAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation IEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment requiring that the membrane have different pore sizes when dry as opposed to hydrated would require the search of dry and hydrated membranes, whereas previously only hydrated membranes were searched, since the claims only recited limitations of the hydrated membrane.

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600